Sri M. LINGANNA.—The number of students who studied is stated to be 28 in 1951-52 and the number of students passed is stated to be 32. How can there be four more ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—At this rate there will be no end to supplementaries.

Sri M. LINGANNA.—The percentage is so high. How can four people be added on ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—You calculate the figures for three years. Four more students have passed.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ರಾಚಯ್ಯ.—ಚೆಕ್‌ಪೋಸ್ಟ್‌ಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಡಿಗ್ರಿ ಪಡೆದಿರುವ ಹರಿಜನರು ಯಾರಾದರೂ ಇದ್ದು ಅವರು ಅರ್ಜಿ ಹಾಕಿಕೊಂಡರೆ ಬೇರೆ ಕೆಲಸ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—That was answered already.

Resurvey of Land under Tungabhadra Project

Q.—700. **Sri KOTRA BASAVANA GOUD** (Kudligi).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether they would resurvey the lands that would be brought under irrigation in the Tungabhadra Project area which are in commandable area ;

(*b*) when they take steps to give water to lands under *ayacut* ;

(*c*) whether they will inform the raiyats sufficiently early so as to enable them to prepare the lands for raising wet crops ;

(*d*) whether they will help the raiyats in this area by way of takavi loans ?

A.—**Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA** (Minister for Revenue and Public Works).—

(*a*) The localisation of *ayacuts* has been completed by the Tungabhadra, Project staff and resurvey is not contemplated so far.

(*b*) Water has already been let in and is available from about July-August 1953 for irrigating about 8,000 acres of localised *ayacut* under the Sanapuram Muddapuram No. I Distributary and direct sluices 1 and 2 in the Kampi area. The next reach for about 4,000 acres is nearing completion. Further reaches are in progress.

(*c*) The raiyats have already been intimated through notices by the Special Officer, Tungabhadra Project, that the lands are included in the *ayacut*, for preparation suitably.

(*d*) Yes, as per Rules under the Land Revenue Code.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೊಟ್ರ ಬಸವನ ಗೌಡ.—ತುಂಗಭದ್ರ ಪ್ರಾಜೆಕ್ಟ್ ಪ್ರದೇಶದ ಆಯಕಟ್ಟು localisation ಆಗಿರುವುದು ಎಷ್ಟು ?

*ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಆ ಪ್ರದೇಶ ನುಮಾರು 90 ಸಾವಿರ ಎಕರೆಯಷ್ಟಾಗಬಹುದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೊಟ್ರ ಬಸವನ ಗೌಡ.—ಮೊದಲು ಸರ್ವೆ ನಡೆಸಿದವರು ಮದ್ರಾಸ್ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು. ಅವರು ಈ ಬಳ್ಳಾರಿ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯ ಪ್ರದೇಶವನ್ನು ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರಿಸಿಲ್ಲವೆಂಬುದು ತಮಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಕೆಲವರು ಹಾಗೆ ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೊಟ್ರ ಬಸವನ ಗೌಡ.—ಹಾಗಾದರೆ ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ಈಗ ಸರ್ವೆ ಮಾಡಿಸಿದರೆ ಇನ್ನೂ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಗೆ ಆಯಕಟ್ಟು ಪ್ರದೇಶ ಬರುತ್ತದೆಯೆಂಬುದು ತಮಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಹಾಗೂ ಸಹ ಕೆಲವರು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೊಟ್ರ ಬಸವನ ಗೌಡ.—ಈ ಕೆಲಸವನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಆ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಮದ್ರಾಸ್ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೂ ಮತ್ತು ಮೈಸೂರು ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೂ ಆಗತಕ್ಕಂಥ ಒಪ್ಪಂದದಮೇಲೆ ಅವಲಂಬಿಸಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೊಟ್ರ ಬಸವನ ಗೌಡ.—ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ನೀರಾವರಿ ಪ್ರದೇಶಕ್ಕೆ ಹಣಸಹಾಯಮಾಡಿ ಅಲ್ಲಿನ ಜನರು ಸಾಗುವಳಿ ಮಾಡಲು ಸಹಾಯ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

ಡಾ॥ ಆರ್. ನಾಗನ ಗೌಡ.—ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಹಣ ಮಂಜೂರಾಗಿದೆ. ಆದರೆ ಕೆಲಸ ಇನ್ನೂ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭವಾಗಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಹುಚ್ಚೆಮಾಸ್ತಿ ಗೌಡ.—"ಆಯಕಟ್ಟು" ಎಂಬ ಉತ್ತರ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಶಬ್ದ "ಅಚ್ಚುಕಟ್ಟು" ಎಂಬ ಶಬ್ದಕ್ಕೆ ಸರಿ ಸಮಾನವಾದುದೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಹೌದು.

Mr. SPEAKER.—There are two short notice questions.

SHORT NOTICE QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Surrender of missing revolver in the Police Department

Q.—24. **Sri B. P. NAGARAJA MURTHY** (Malavalli).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether a revolver No. 695209 which was alleged to have been lost has

(SRI B. P. NAGARAJA MURTHY.)

been recovered or surrendered very recently;

(*b*) if so, whether it has been published in the *Police Gazette*;

(*c*) when it was published;

(*d*) by whom it was surrendered?

A.—**Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA** (Minister for Home and Industries).—

(*a*) Yes; surrendered.

(*b*) Yes.

(*c*) On 20th February 1954.

(*d*) Sri P. S. Narasimhachar, District Superintendent of Police.

Sri B. P. NAGARAJA MURTHY.—Will the Government be pleased to state when this revolver was lost, Sir?

***Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.**—I do not know when it was lost. The Government received a report from the Inspector-General of Police on 1st August 1951 bringing this loss of revolver to the notice of the Government.

Sri B. P. NAGARAJA MURTHY.—When was it actually surrendered, Sir?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—This was surrendered on 19th January 1954.

Sri B. P. NAGARAJA MURTHY.—Is there a stock register maintained to take stock of arms and ammunition issued to the Police Department?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Yes.

Sri B. P. NAGARAJA MURTHY.—Is there an Issue and Receipt Register maintained for these arms and ammunition?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Well, so far as all these things are concerned, the matter is under enquiry. I understood today that the officer who was asked to conduct an enquiry has submitted a report to the Inspector-General of Police about two days back. I have not received the report as yet from the Inspector-General of Police. I will be able to give you details only after seeing the report and studying the whole file.

Sri B. P. NAGARAJA MURTHY.—My submission to the Speaker, Sir. The Hon'ble Minister says he is not able to furnish details . . . .

Mr. SPEAKER.—It all depends upon further questions. Proceed further.

Sri B. P. NAGARAJA MURTHY.—Will the Government be pleased to state whether an enquiry was conducted when the loss of revolver was reported to the Government?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Again I can only tell you after the report is received by the Government from the Inspector-General of Police. What exactly was done in the matter and the details of the report are matters that I will be able to say after receiving the full report with regard to the facts of the case. Just at the moment these are two incontrovertible facts. About the same revolver it was said that it was lost some time back and the same revolver is now surrendered to the Department by an officer. As to how these two things have happened is a matter for enquiry and then we can come to a conclusion.

Sri B. P. NAGARAJA MURTHY.—I have a number of supplementaries, Sir. The Hon'ble Minister is not able to furnish answers.

Mr. SPEAKER.—We will see; you put further questions.

Sri B. P. NAGARAJA MURTHY.—Will the Hon'ble Minister tell whether this loss of revolver was published in the *Police Gazette*?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Yes, it was published.

Sri B. P. NAGARAJA MURTHY.—You have said in the answer that the surrender has been published. My question is whether the loss of the revolver was published?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—No. So far as that position is concerned, I have not got information. This is certain that it was surrendered by the officer on 19th January 1954 and it is published in the *Mysore Gazette*.

Mr. SPEAKER.—His question is whether the loss of revolver was published in the *Police Gazette*.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I want notice, Sir.

Sri B. P. NAGARAJA MURTHY.—Is there any circular that the loss of arms should be published in the *Police Gazette*?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Yes, there is.

Sri B. P. NAGARAJA MURTHY.—Was any statement recorded by the person who surrendered this revolver?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—That is again a matter which is under enquiry, as I have already submitted. The report has yet to come to me.

Sri B. P. NAGARAJA MURTHY.—Many of my questions are not answered, Sir.

Mr. SPEAKER.—You cannot help it. The matter is under enquiry.

Sri B. P. NAGARAJA MURTHY.—In that case, I pray the Chair to permit me to put the questions after some time.

Mr. SPEAKER.—You put further questions.

Sri B. P. NAGARAJA MURTHY.—Is there any evidence to show that the officer who has surrendered the weapon has acknowledged in the issue register ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—That is again a matter I can say only after looking into the records after they come to me. I do not want to hazard any answer by way of guess.

Sri B. P. NAGARAJA MURTHY.—Is the cost of the revolver ordered by the Government to be recovered from any officer ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Government ordered that the money will have to be recovered from the person by whom it was stated that the revolver was lost. I want notice to tell you exactly whether the amount has been recovered.

Sri B. P. NAGARAJA MURTHY.—Who is that person from whom the amount was asked to be collected ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Sri U. K. Somappa.

Sri B. P. NAGARAJA MURTHY.—Is there any evidence to show that the revolver was issued to that officer ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—That is a matter for enquiry.

Sri B. P. NAGARAJA MURTHY.—Who maintains these arms and ammunition in the Police Department, Sir ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I believe the District Superintendent of Police, M.A.R.P.

Sri B. P. NAGARAJA MURTHY.—Who was the D.S.P. in charge of M.A.R.P. when the loss of the revolver was reported, Sir ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I will be able to tell you only when the report comes to me.

Sri B. P. NAGARAJA MURTHY.—At least will the Hon'ble Minister tell me the name of the D.I.G. in charge of that, Sir ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Again I have to see the report.

Sri B. P. NAGARAJA MURTHY.—Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to furnish the details after a week with the permission of the Chair ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I cannot promise at what time it may be possible. I cannot force the officer to send the report immediately. I want to give full time for enquiry and report. I will take my own time to examine and come to conclusions.

Sri B. P. NAGARAJA MURTHY.—What was the allegation made against Sri Somappa regarding the loss of the revolver, Sir ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—It was said that Sri Somappa had taken it and had not returned. It is the assumption that Sri Somappa had taken that revolver and had not returned it back at the time it was ordered and so he was asked to pay the cost of that revolver.

Sri B. P. NAGARAJA MURTHY.—Was any officer examined when this order was made, Sir ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—For that again, I want to see the records before giving an answer.

Sri B. P. NAGARAJA MURTHY.—Was this not one of the allegations against that officer, Sir ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I do not know.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—In view of the fact that the concerned Minister is asking for notice for every point, and until records are seen he does not seem to be in possession of facts just at present, would the Speaker be pleased to order that this may be taken up later ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—There is a different procedure for that.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—Was this surrender voluntary or was this revolver seized ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I have received the report from the Inspector-General of Police stating that it was surrendered. Under what circumstances it was surrendered, I have not got information.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—Was any officer deputed to search for it and investigate into the loss of this revolver ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Yes, as soon as the matter was reported to the Government, Government caused an enquiry to be held in the matter.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—I am asking whether an officer was deputed to investigate into this loss of revolver. Investigation is different from enquiry.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—There are two things. Government were not aware of the recovery of this arm until Government were informed. There is a particular form ; as soon as a lost arm is recovered, immediately a report is sent to Government and in that report, it came to the notice of the Government when this particular arm was recovered from the gentleman from whom it is said to have been recovered. And then, immediately, as soon as that matter came to the knowledge of the Government, the Government ordered that the matter may be enquired into. This report was sent by the Head of the Department on 4-2-54 ; and there is a particular form 109. In that form it was returned on 31-5-51. It was said that this revolver was lent temporarily to Sri U.K. Somappa, Senior D.I.G., and subsequently it was intimated to me that it was received from M. A. R. P. Details as to when and how they came into possession of the weapon and ammunition are called for from Sri P. S. Narasimhachar, D.S.P. That is what is noted in the remarks column. Apart from that, I have not got any further information in this matter.

1 P.M.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—Was he in legal possession of the weapon or did he possess it illegally ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—That is again a matter which I will be able to say after I receive the report from the Inspector-General.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—When Government came to know that it was lost and was recovered later from the possession of another person, should they not hold an enquiry at least now and find out whether this comes under the Criminal Act ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—First of all, in a case of this nature the departmental enquiry is found necessary. I cannot say whether the investigation as contemplated in the Criminal Procedure Code is necessary in this case. It is too much for me to say anything at this stage.

Sri M. RAJASEKHARA MURTHY.—Did Government authorise or appoint any officer to enquire into the loss of the revolver ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I have already replied to that question.

Sri M. RAJASEKHARA MURTHY.—At the time the revolver was found lost, did the Government appoint any officer to enquire and submit a report ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I have already said ; at the time of registering the alleged loss, I do not know whether any enquiry was conducted. I have said I must get all the facts of the case and full report to answer that.

Sri M. RAJASEKHARA MURTHY.—You were pleased to say, 'assuming that the revolver was lost on account of that person.' May I know the basis on which Government assumed ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—It was never done on assumption. A report came to Government and on the basis of that it was concluded that Sri Somappa was the man who had the revolver but had not returned and then the order was passed.

Sri M. RAJASEKHARA MURTHY.—Who gave the report ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—It was received from the Inspector-General of Police.

Sri M. RAJASEKHARA MURTHY.—Did he conduct any enquiry at that time ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I do not know ; it was in the year 1951.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಚಿಕ್ಕಲಿಂಗಯ್ಯ.—ಸೋಮಪ್ಪನವರ explanation call for ಮಾಡಿದೆಯೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.—ಮಾಡಿತ್ತು. ಅವರು ಅದು ಈಗ ನನ್ನಲ್ಲಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. These

are matters which I will be able to collect.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಚಿಕ್ಕಲಿಂಗಯ್ಯ.—ಈ ಕರೆನ್ಸ್ಪಾಂಡೆನ್ಸ್ ನಡೆಯುವಾಗ ನರಸಿಂಹಾಚಾರ್ ಅವರ ಹತ್ತಿರ ಈ ವೆಪನ್ ಇತ್ತೆಂದು ರುಜುವಾತಾಗಿಲ್ಲವೆ ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—This much is certain that a weapon said to have been lost, the same identical weapon has been returned by a particular officer who was also in the Police Department. As to how he came by it, and when he came by it are circumstances which will be made known in the course of time when the full fact is received.

Case against Sri John Meninjis of Saklespur.

Q.—27. Sri B. N. BORANNA GOWDA (Belur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether they are aware that one Sri John Meninjis of Saklespur was taken through all the streets in procession hand-cuffed ;

(*b*) what is the crime that he has committed ;

(*c*) whether he is a habitual offender ;

(*d*) whether he has committed any heinous crime in previous years ;

(*e*) whether he was a witness in any of the police cases ?

A.—Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA (Minister for Home and Industries).—

(*a*) He was taken under police escort.

(*b*), (*c*), (*d*) and (*e*) Since a case is pending trial against him, the matter is *sub judice*.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಎನ್. ಬೋರಣ್ಣಗೌಡ.—ಜಾನ್ ಮೆನಿಂಜಿಸ್‌ರವರನ್ನು ಬೀದಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕರೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಹೋದಾಗ hand-cuff ಹಾಕಿದ್ದರೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.—ಹ್ಯಾಂಡ್ ಕಫ್ ಹಾಕಿ ಕರೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಹೋದರೆಂದು ವರದಿಯಾಗಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಎನ್. ಬೋರಣ್ಣಗೌಡ.—ಎಂಥ ಸಂದರ್ಭಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಗೆ ಮಾಡುವುದು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.—ಯಾವ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಅದನ್ನು ಹಾಕಬೇಕೆಂಬುದಕ್ಕೆ ಪೋಲೀಸು ಮ್ಯಾನ್ಯುಯಲ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಾವಿಷನ್ ಇದೆ. ವಾಲ್ಯೂಂ ಒಂದರ 259ನೆಯ ಸೆಕ್ಷನ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಹ್ಯಾಂಡ್ ಕಫ್ ಹಾಕಬಹುದೆಂದಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಎನ್. ಬೋರಣ್ಣಗೌಡ.—ಅವರು ಇಂಥ crime ಮಾಡಿದರೆಂಬುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಅವಗಾಹನೆಗೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೆ ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I am extremely sorry, Sir ; I forgot that the matter is now before the Court. I have received a report that a charge-sheet has been placed. I do not know whether I would be within my bounds to give any further information about it.

Sri B. N. BORANNA GOWDA.—I am asking for information ; not about the proceedings in the Court. ಅವರು ಹಿಂದೇ ನಾದರೂ ಬಹಳ heinous crime ಮಾಡಿದ್ದರೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.—ಥಾರ್ಜ್‌ಷೀಟ್ ನಂಬರು 33 ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಸಕಲೇಶಪುರದಲ್ಲಿ ಇದೇ ಅಪರಾಧಿಯಮೇಲೆ ಹಾಕಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅದು 379ನೆಯ ಸೆಕ್ಷನ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಇರಬೇಕು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಎನ್. ಬೋರಣ್ಣಗೌಡ.—ಅವರು ಕಳ್ಳತನ ಮಾಡಿದರೆಂದು ಕೇಸು ಹಾಕಿದ್ದಾರೋ ಅಥವಾ ಅವರ ಮಗ ಮಾಡಿದರೆಂದು ಹಾಕಿದ್ದಾರೋ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.—ಅವರ ಮೇಲೆಯೇ ಹಾಕಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಸೆಕ್ಷನ್ 379, R. I. 25. ಸೆಕ್ಷನ್ 114 ಈ ಎರಡರ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಹಾಕಿದೆ. ಜಾನ್ ಮೆನಿಂಜಿಸ್ ಒಬ್ಬರು, ಇನ್ನಿಬ್ಬರು ಫ್ರಾನ್ಸಿಲ್ ಮೆನಿಂಜಿಸ್ ಮತ್ತು ಥಾಸ್ಲೆ ಮೆನಿಂಜಿಸ್ ಇರಬೇಕು. There are three.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಎನ್. ಬೋರಣ್ಣಗೌಡ.—ಜಾನ್‌ಮೆನಿಂಜಿಸ್ ಎಂಬುವರು ನಮ್ಮ ದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಗಣ್ಯರೆಂಬುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಅವಗಾಹನೆಗೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.—ಈ ವಿಷಯವು ಸಬ್ ಜ್ಯುಡಿಸ್ ಆಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಈ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಹೇಳುವುದರಲ್ಲಿ ಔಚಿತ್ಯವಿದೆಯೆ ಎಂದು ನೋಡಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ. Let the case be decided, then I shall see what it is and all that. Now I cannot do anything.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಎನ್. ಬೋರಣ್ಣಗೌಡ.—ಈ ಕರ್ತವ್ಯ ನಿರ್ವಹಿಸಿರುವವರು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ವರ್ತಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೆ, ಇಲ್ಲವೆ ಎಂದು ವರದಿ ತರಿಸಿದ್ದೀರಾ ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—That will be a different issue.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಎನ್. ಬೋರಣ್ಣಗೌಡ.—ಅವರ ವರ್ತನೆ ಯಾವ ರೀತಿಯಿತ್ತೆಂಬ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಡಿ.ಎಸ್.ಪಿ. ಯಿಂದ ಲಾಗಲಿ ಅಥವಾ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಂದಾಗಲಿ ಸಮಾಚಾರ ತರಿಸಿದ್ದೀರಾ ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—The whole thing was under investigation.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಎನ್. ಬೋರಣ್ಣಗೌಡ.—ಪಾರ್ಟಿ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಅಪ್ಲಿಕೇಷನ್ ಮೇಲೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಂದ ವರದಿ ತರಿಸಿದ್ದೀರಾ ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—That does not arise now.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಎನ್. ಬೋರಣ್ಣಗೌಡ.—ಥಾರ್ಜ್ ಷೀಟು ಹಾಕಿರುವಾಗ ಅವರು ತಪ್ಪುಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೋ, ಇಲ್ಲವೋ ತೀರ್ಮಾನವಾಗಬೇಕು. ಅವರ ವರ್ತನೆಯ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಏನು ವರದಿ ತರಿಸಿದ್ದಾಗಿದೆ ? ಆ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಅರ್ಜಿಕೊಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲವೆ ?